

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-31344-2019

Date of decision: 18.11.2019

Darshan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr.RK Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner

\*\*\*\*

**JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.**

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of direction to respondent Nos. 1 to 4 to take action against respondent No.5 on account of misappropriation of the grants received by the Panchayats and not removing the illegal encroachment from the Shamlat land of the village.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 – Director, Department of Rural Development and Panchayats, Punjab to consider and decide the representation dated 21.6.2018 (Annexure P-1 ) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr.Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl.AG, Punjab in the Court today.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the

case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Director, Department of Rural Development and Panchayats, Punjab to consider and decide the representation dated 21.6.2018 (Annexure P-1 ) in accordance with law within six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

18.11.2019  
gsv

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**  
**JUDGE**

**Whether speaking / reasoned?**  
**Whether reportable?**

**Yes / No**  
**Yes / No**