IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

> CWP No. 28706 of 2022 (O&M) **Date of Decision: 14.12.2022**

Dr. Sheetal Rohit Narang

124

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...... Respondents

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU **CORAM:**

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Passi, Advocate,

for the petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution, inter alia, for directing the respondents to grant the benefit of pervious service as well as pay protection as per rules.

At the outset, learned counsel on instructions from petitioner submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case representation dated 05.06.2022 (P-15) is decided expeditiously.

Notice of motion to the aforesaid limited extent.

On asking of the Court, Ms. Lavanya Paul, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent(s)-State and raises no objection against the innocuous prayer of the petitioner.

In view of the above, but without expressing any opinion on merits of the claim of the petitioner, this writ petition is disposed off with direction to the competent authority to consider and decide the above representation as per law, within six weeks from receipt of certified copy of this order.

'dk kamra'

JUDGE

Needless to say that in case there is a failure on the part of competent authority, petitioner would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for revival of this writ petition.

December 14, 2022 (MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No