

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

RFA No.4850 of 2016(O&amp;M)

Date of decision: 04.08.2017

Mauj Deen and others

... Appellants

Versus

Punjab State and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**

Present: Mr. RS Manhas, Advocate for the appellants.

Ms. Rukhsaar Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

**ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)****CM-13531-CI-2016**

This is an application for condonation of delay of 6579 days in filing the accompanying appeal.

Despite repeated opportunities, no reply has been filed thereto.

All what has been urged by learned counsel for the applicants is that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgment, dated 26.08.2015, rendered by this court in RFA No.226 of 1999 [**Punjab State and others v. Durgi Devi and others**], vide which in the appeals arising out of the same acquisition, this court while placing reliance upon another decision dated 16.03.2009, rendered by this Court in RFA No.4525 of 2001 [**Thuru Ram v. State of Punjab and others**], had enhanced the compensation awarded to the claimant-land owners.

The factual position, as set out above, is not disputed by learned State counsel.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

In the wake of the decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Imrat Lal and others v. Land Acquisition Collector and others, 2015(2) RCR (Civil) 437 and Dhiraj Singh (D) Tr. LRs. v. Haryana State and others, 2015

(2) RCR (Civil) 507, the delay of 6579 days in filing the accompanying appeal is condoned. However, to balance the equities, for the period of delay in filing the appeal the applicants shall not be entitled to any interest on the enhanced compensation.

CM stands disposed of.

CM-13530-CI-2016

RFA-4850-2016

For, the learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this court in Durgi Devi's case (supra), the present appeal is disposed of in the same terms. However, the appellants shall not be entitled to interest on the enhanced compensation for the period of delay in filing the appeal i.e. 6579 days.

August 4, 2017  
Rajan

**( Arun Palli )**  
**Judge**

Whether speaking / reasoned:  
Whether Reportable:

YES  
NO