IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.4646 of 2018
Date of decision: 27.02.2018

Ajit Singh Kajla

… Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission

… Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Ravinder Singh Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. RK Doon, AAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent. Copies furnished.

For the nature of order I propose to pass in the matter, no formal written statement/counter affidavit on behalf of the respondent is indeed necessary, at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioner competed for selection to the post of Industrial Extension Officer, pursuant to an advertisement dated 02.12.2016, under the Ex-servicemen Category (General). He purports to have cleared the written examination (online) and obtained 142 marks. However, in the list of the short-listed candidates, called for interview, the name and roll number of the petitioner was not depicted. Though, a last candidate in his category, called for interview, had obtained lesser marks than the petitioner i.e. 140.

Rajan Kumar
2018.02.28 04:21
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
Chandigarh

This is a True Copy of the court records online. Authenticated @ https://eCourtsIndia.com/cnr/PHHC011049952018/truecopy/order-1.pdf

Concededly, the process of selection has been concluded, and even the appointments have since been made. It is urged that in the final results declared by the respondents, the petitioner is rather shown to have obtained 134 marks. Thus, it is urged that the respondents have neither assigned any reason; as to why, despite having obtained 142 marks in the written examination, the petitioner was not called for interview nor the basis that he had actually allocated 134 marks.

In response, learned counsel for the respondent submits that the present petition itself shall be considered as representation on behalf of the petitioner. And, the respondent authority shall pass appropriate orders, in accordance with law, within a period of 3 months from today.

The petition is accordingly disposed of in the above terms.

In the event the claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondent-authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

27.02.2018
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned: YES
Whether Reportable: NO

Rajan Kumar
2018.02.28 04:21
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
Chandigarh

This is a True Copy of the court records online. Authenticated @ https://eCourtsIndia.com/cnr/PHHC011049952018/truecopy/order-1.pdf