



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No. 4365 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 05.08.2024

Dr. Dinesh Kumar Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Sudha Bansal and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Surinder Gandhi, Advocate
for the petitioner-plaintiff.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner-plaintiff, by way of present revision petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, seeks issuance of directions to learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Kalka, (**hereinafter to be called as “trial Court”**), for deciding his *Civil Suit No. 196 of 2021*, titled **“Dr. Dinesh Kumar Sharma Versus Smt. Sudha Bansal and Others”**, seeking a decree for possession by way of pre-emption in respect of property bearing Municipal House Tax No. 736/1, Ahata Murari Lal, Kalka, Tehsil Kalka, District Panchkula with all rights appertaining thereto and therein as mentioned in the registered sale deed dated 25.07.2019 alongwith consequential relief of permanent injunction by restraining respondent No.1-defendant No. 1 from dispossessing the plaintiff over the suit property, as also restraining respondent No. 1-defendant No. 1 from alienating the suit property.

www.ecourtsindia.com

[2] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a senior citizen and he would be satisfied in case the trial Court is directed to decide the civil suit, filed by him, within a time bound manner.

[3] I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and considered the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner.

[4] Considering the fact that the suit for possession by way of pre-emption, filed at the instance of petitioner-plaintiff, is pending for the last three years even the pleadings are not complete as yet, especially when the petitioner is a senior citizen around 70 years of age, hence, without commenting upon the merits of the controversy and keeping in view the limited prayer made on behalf of the petitioner, purely in the interest of justice, the trial Court is requested to decide the civil suit (supra) on priority basis as per law, preferably within a period of six months from the date fixed, i.e. 12.09.2024.

[5] **Disposed off** accordingly.

[6] Keeping in view the nature of proceedings, the present petition is being decided without issuing notice to the respondents, lest it may delay the progress of suit instituted at the instance of petitioner-plaintiff and may also burden them with unnecessary costs towards litigation expenses.

August 05, 2024
'dk kamra'

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No