

*IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB
AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.*

CWP No. 5887 of 2016. [O&M]
Date of Decision: 02nd May, 2016.

Jiwani
Versus
Petitioner through
Mr. B.K. Bagri, Advocate

State of Haryana & Ors. Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
 - 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
 - 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**
- ***

SURYA KANT, J. [ORAL]

Notice of motion.

On our asking, Mr. Gaurav Goel, Assistant AG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1, 3, 5 and 6, while Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 2 and 4. It is not necessary to issue notice to respondent No. 7 at this stage.

Keeping in view the nature of order which we propose to pass, there is no necessity to seek reply on behalf of the respondents.

The petitioner has laid challenge to the notifications issued under Sections 4 and 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 in respect of acquisition of a small plot measuring 100 square yards which was allotted to her by the Gram Panchayat under the 'Below Poverty Line Scheme' in the year 1994 and a Gift Deed dated 18.03.1994 was also executed by the Gram Panchayat.

During the course of hearing, it transpires that these very acquisition proceedings on identical grounds were challenged before this Court in **CWP No. 10095 of 2013 [Rameshwar & Ors. Vs. FC & PS Haryana & Ors.]** and the same was disposed of on 01.05.2014 in terms of the concession granted on behalf of the HSIDC.

The instant writ petition is also accordingly disposed of in the same terms. Dasti.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

**May 02, 2016.
dinesh**

**(A.B.CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**