IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

L.P.A. No. 1803 of 2011 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION: 18.07.2012

Zile Singh and others

.... APPELLANTS

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Arvind Kumar, Advocate, for

Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate,

for the appellants.

SATISH KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

This Letters Patent Appeal has been directed against the order dated 7.7.2011, passed by the learned Single Judge, whereby the writ petition (Civil Writ Petition No. 987 of 2010) filed by the appellants has been disposed of.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel states that the appellants may be permitted to withdraw this appeal with liberty that if respondent No.3 – Gram Panchayat, Village Khewra, Tehsil and District Sonepat, actually carve out the plan for utilisation of the land in terms of utilisation plan prepared under Rule 3 of the Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Rules, 1964, then to challenge such utilisation if the said

courtsindia.com

utilisation is contrary to the utilised plan in accordance with law.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL) JUDGE

July 18, 2012 ndj

(INDERJIT SINGH) JUDGE