

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.121

Civil Writ Petition No.24540 of 2018
DECIDED ON: September 26, 2018

MEHAR SINGH AND OTHERS

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

CH. CHARAN SINGH HARYANA AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY,
HISAR THROUGH ITS VICE-CHANCELLOR AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Manu K. Bhandari, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought an issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent No.1 to grant them arrears of revised pension from 01.01.2006 to 05.02.2015 in terms of judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No.6786 of 2015 captioned as *Sudama Agarwal & ors. vs. State of Haryana & anr.* decided on 19.04.2017 (P-9) as modified by this Court vide order dated 04.12.2017 (P-11) without seeking permission from respondent No.2, as has already been ordered by this Court while deciding review petition and to consider/decide justice demand notice dated 09.07.2018 (P-13) within stipulated period along with interest @ 18% p.a. on such arrears of revised pension w.e.f. 20.06.2009.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that though justice demand notice was made to the respondents on 09.07.2018 (P-13) but till date neither any reply to the said notice has been received nor any final order has been passed by the concerned Department. He further submits that they feel satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.1 to decide the abovesaid notice (P-13) in the light of the aforesaid judgment dated 19.04.2017 (P-9) and order dated 04.12.2017 (P-11), within some specified period.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 – Vice Chancellor, Ch. Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in the justice demand notice dated 09.07.2018 (P-13) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order in the light of the judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No.6786 of 2015 captioned as **Sudama Agarwal & ors. vs. State of Haryana & anr.** decided on 19.04.2017 (P-9) as well as order dated 04.12.2017 (P-11) passed in review application No.510 of 2017, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioners still feel aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, they shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

September 26, 2018
Ankur

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No