

CWP No. 22004 of 2018  
DECIDED ON: AUGUST 31, 2018

RESHMA DEVI AND ORS

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

CH. CHARAN SINGH HARYANA AGRICULTURE UNIVERSITY  
HISAR THR ITS REGISTRAR AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Nohal S. Choudhary, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*\*

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 23.06.2018 (P-10) as per judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 8612 of 2012, titled *Sarbatı Devi vs. Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agriculture University, Hissar and another.*

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contend that though legal notice dated 23.06.2018 (P-10) was duly served upon the respondents but till date neither any reply to the said legal notice has been received nor any final order has been passed by the respondents. He further submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the afore-said legal notice dated 23.06.2018 (P-10) in a time bound manner.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in the legal notice dated 23.06.2018 (P-10) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order considering all the facts narrated in the aforesaid legal notice within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioners still feel aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, they shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under the law including to approach this Court.

AUGUST 31, 2018  
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)  
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>