

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.21827 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision :06.11.2023

Om Pati

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE  
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL, JUDGE

Present : Mr. Sanjeev Patiyl, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jaivir Chandail, Addl. Standing Counsel for  
UT Chandigarh.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral):

Learned counsel for the parties submits that during the pendency of this petition the respondents-Administration had considered the claim of the petitioner. So much so, she was granted an opportunity of hearing on 14.08.2023 and whereafter by a detailed order dated 21.09.2023, her claim was rejected.

Learned counsel for the Administration has produced a copy of the said order which is taken on record as Mark-X.

A copy thereof has been furnished to learned counsel for the petitioner, who fairly submits that in view of the subsequent developments let the petitioner be permitted to withdraw this petition, so as to avail such other remedies, as shall be admissible in law, against order dated 21.09.2023.

Ordered accordingly.

(ARUN PALLI)  
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)  
JUDGE

06.11.2023

Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No