

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*\*

**CWP No. 23257 of 2016  
Date of decision : 10.11.2016**

**Mahender Singh**

.....Petitioner

v.

**State of Haryana and others**

.....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present : Mr. Amit Kumar Ghosh, Advocate, for the petitioner

---

**Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner, who is working as a Driver in the Haryana Roadways, has impugned the order dated 26.10.2016 (Annexure P-3), whereby he has been transferred from Rohtak Depot to Hisar Depot.

It is manifest from the perusal of the impugned order that the petitioner has been transferred on mutual basis on his own request. The petitioner had sought transfer on mutual basis with another Driver in June 2016. However, before the respondents could take a decision on his application for mutual transfer, the petitioner had been transferred from Rohtak to Panipat from Panipat to Rohtak and thereafter, from Rohtak to Hisar.

It is the case of the petitioner that after he had been transferred to Panipat Depot, he could not have been again transferred to Hisar Depot on the basis of his application for mutual transfer, preferred in June 2016, which would be deemed to have lapsed.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and am of the considered

view that this petition is devoid of merit.

The petitioner has been transferred to Hisar Depot at his own request. In case the respondents have delayed the processing of the application preferred by the petitioner in June 2016, the same cannot be said to be in violation of instructions or rules applicable for transfer, which would warrant interference by this Court. The petitioner has been posted at Hisar, which was his preferred place of posting in terms of his application sent in June 2016.

In the result, the petition devoid of any merits, stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)  
JUDGE

10.11.2016  
*Ashwani*

Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Reportable	Yes/No