www.ecourtsindia.com www.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.2405 of 2014 Date of Decision: February 10, 2014

Moti Lal Jain

....Petitioner

versus

Chandigarh Administration and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.R.K. Verma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-.-

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioner's claim for allotment of a built-up booth in Rehri Market, Sector-19-C, Chandigarh, was rejected on 11.05.1994. His appeals etc., were finally turned down on 26.10.1998. He took no action till the filing of a civil suit on 21.10.2000 in which he claims to have got an order of statusquo but then withdrew the suit on 1.11.2013 to avail the alternative remedy. Thereafter, he is said to have filed a revision petition before the Advisor to the Administrator, U.T., Chandigarh on 27.11.2013. In the instant writ petition, the petitioner seeks a direction for adjudication of the above-stated revision petition.

As the facts would speak for themselves the petitioner owes an explanation for the inordinate delay in challenging the orders passed by the authorities. We, thus, dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the learned Revisional Authority to decide the petitioner's revision petition

.ecourtsindia.com

in the light of the facts noticed above but this order of ours shall not be construed to mean as if we have condoned the delay.

Let an appropriate order in accordance with law be passed within a period of <u>four months</u> from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.

Ordered accordingly.

Dasti.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

February 10, 2014
Mohinder

[AMOL RATTAN SINGH]
JUDGE