

124

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.22530 of 2013

Date of Decision: 09.10.2013

Sikander Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present:- Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J (ORAL)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent-authorities, petitioner has approached this Court by way of instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that when the grievance of the petitioner was not being redressed, he finally approached the respondent-authorities, by way of representation dated 21.09.2013 (Annexure P-8), but the same is also pending decision. He further submits that the petitioner will be satisfied in case respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner, within a reasonable time.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, including the issue of limitation, if any, the Director of Public Instructions (SE), Punjab-respondent No.2, is directed to look into the matter, consider the grievance of the petitioner raised vide his representation dated 21.09.2013 (Annexure P-8) and decide the same keeping in view the order dated 23.09.2013 passed by this Court in CWP No.21155 of 2013 at an early date, by passing an appropriate order thereon, in accordance with law, but in any case within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

With the observations made above, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

October 09, 2013
vandana

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE