## IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.21036 of 2012 Date of Decision: November 29, 2013

Usha Mittal

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA.

Present: Mr.N.K.Manchanda, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.P.S.Bajwa, Additional AG, Punjab.

Mr.B.S.Sidhu, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

-.-

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

## Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has preferred this writ petition seeking modification of the Award dated 18.2.2012 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Tribunal under the Punjab Town Improvement Act, 1922, in respect of assessment of compensation for the petitioner's acquired land.

During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the parties are ad-idem that vide order dated 30.08.2013 passed in a bunch of writ petitions including <u>CWP No.9250 of 2012 (Ritu versus State of Punjab and others)</u>, the matter has been remanded to the Tribunal for afresh adjudication.

The instant writ petition is accordingly allowed in the same terms and while setting-aside the award, this case is also remanded to the Tribunal for disposal alongwith other connected cases.

.ecourtsindia.com

The parties are directed to put in appearance before the Tribunal on the same date which the Tribunal has fixed in the connected cases.

Dasti.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

November 29, 2013
Mohinder

[SURINDER GUPTA]
JUDGE