

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

CRWP No.792 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 30.04.2015

Bibi

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. B.S. Baath, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Nikhil K. Chopra, DAG, Punjab.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

The instant petition was preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of habeas corpus seeking the release of the alleged detainee, Baro, i.e. daughter of the present petitioner and who was alleged to be in the unlawful confinement of private respondents No.4 to 7.

After issuance of notice of motion, status report had been filed on behalf of the State and in which it had been stated that the alleged detainee Baro has since been traced and she is currently residing with respondent No.4, namely Surmu having solemnized marriage with him.

On the last date of hearing i.e. on 07.04.2015, State counsel had been directed to produce the detainee in Court.

In compliance of the directions issued by this Court, the detainee Baro has come present. She has suffered a statement that she has entered into matrimony with Surmu and is residing in the matrimonial home of her own will and accord. Even counsel appearing for the petitioner i.e.

mother of the detenue makes a statement that the petitioner has accepted the matrimonial alliance between the detenue, Baro and Surmu

In view of the circumstances noticed herein above, no further directions are required to be passed.

Accordingly, the habeas corpus petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

30.04.2015
harjeet

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE