## IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P. No.11881 of 2012 Date of Decision: January 22, 2014

Mukesh Singhania

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union of India and another

... Respondent(s)

**CORAM:** HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Deepak Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kamal Sehgal, Advocate for respondent no.1.

Mr. Satish Aggarwala, Advocate and

Mr. Sunish Bindlish, Advocate for respondent no.2.

\*\*\*

## **AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)**

- 1. Learned counsel for respondent no.2 has produced a copy of the Adjudication order dated 09.01.2014 passed by Addl. Commissioner of Customs ICD, Tuglakabad, New Delhi. The same is taken on record.
- 2. Learned counsel for respondent no.2 states that the said order is appealable and therefore, in view thereof, the present petition has become infructuous.
- Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, states that he has no instructions with regard to the same.
- In view of the above, the petition stands disposed of as infructuous with liberty to the petitioner to revive the same in case the statement made by the learned counsel for respondent no.2 is found to be incorrect.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL) **JUDGE** 

(ANITA CHAUDHRY) **JUDGE** 

January 22, 2014 sunil