## IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.15666 of 2010 Date of Decision: September 10, 2010.

Harvinder Singh

Petitioner

versus

Union of India and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

Present: Mr. Narinder Singh Behgal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Karminder Singh, Advocate, for the respondents.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

## Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr.Karminder Singh, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

In view of the nature of order which I propose to pass, there is no need to seek any counter-reply from the respondents at this stage.

The petitioner wants to pursue his academic career in United Kingdom. He had earlier got a passport which is alleged to have been lost/ misplaced by the consultant/agent. The petitioner thereafter wanted to apply for passport under the "Tatkaal Scheme" but respondent No.2 is said to have refused to entertain the application.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the petitioner's application in accordance with law/Rules and if the application is found incomplete and/or there is any other deficiency, the same shall be removed by the petitioner, upon which respondent No.2 shall consider the petitioner's application for issuance of the passport under the "Tatkaal Scheme" as early as possible.

Dasti.

September 10, 2010
Mohinder

(SURYA KANT) JUDGE