IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.11984 of 2010 Date of Decision: July 12, 2010.

M.P.S.KalraPetitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

Present: Mr. Aman Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioner who is working as an Assistant Corporation Engineer with the Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana, alleges that the *interse* seniority of the Assistant Corporation Engineers has not been framed in accordance with the Government Instructions dated 22.10.1999 (Annexure P-1) as well as the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Ajit Singh Janjua versus State of Punjab (1999 (7) SCC 209.* In this regard, the petitioner has already served the authorities with a representation dated 9.3.2009 and Justice Demand Notice dated 20.5.10 (Annexures P-2 and P-4 respectively), but no action appears to have been taken by the respondents so far.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner, however

www.ecourtsindia.com

without expressing any views on the petitioner's claim, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to respondent No.1 to consider the above-mentioned claim of the petitioner and dispose of the same by passing a speaking order within a period of *three months* from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.

Ordered accordingly.

<u>Dasti.</u>

July 12, 2010 Mohinder (SURYA KANT) JUDGE