

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.W.P. No.4767 of 2006 (O&M)

Date of Decision.17.11.2011

Sunita Rani wife of Shri Bhuvnesh Kumar KatariaPetitioner
Versus

The Director, Secondary Education, Haryana, 30 Bays Building, Sector
17, Chandigarh and othersRespondents

Present: Mr. Subhash Ahuja, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Vijay Dahiya, Advocate
for the respondents.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ? No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? No

-.-

K. KANNAN J.(ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that after the constitution of Education Tribunal under Educational Tribunal Act, 2009, the petitioner will have his grievance redressed before the Tribunal. The petitioner is permitted to withdraw the writ petition and file the appeal before the competent Tribunal.

2. The Authority will consider the issue of exclusion of time taken by the petitioner for bona fide prosecution of the case before this Court in the above case. The petitioner will have opportunity to present the case within four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

3. The writ petition is disposed of with the above direction.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

November 17, 2011
Pankaj*