109

CRWP-3740-2025

Date of decision: 16.04.2025

TAJINDER PAL SINGH AND ANOTHER

....PETITIONERS

V/s

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

1

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Varun Sharma, Advocate

for the petitioners.

SUMEET GOEL, J.

- 1. By way of present petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners are seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect their life and liberty at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 7.
- 2. Notice of motion to respondents Nos. 1 to 3 (i.e. official respondents).
- 3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. H.S. Deol, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.
- 4. As per the petitioners, they have married on 09.04.2025 against the wishes of respondent Nos. 4 to 7, who happen to be the family members/relative of the petitioners.
- 5. Both the petitioners have claimed themselves to be major relying upon their Aadhar Cards indicating that date of birth of petitioner No.1-Tajinder Pal Singh is about 31 years old and petitioner No.2-Sukhmandeep Kaur is 30.10.2005.

6. Without commenting on the legality and validity of the marriage as also the merits of contentions raised in the petition, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.2 (Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar Rural) to look into the representation dated 09.04.2025 (Annexure P-4) stated to have been submitted by the petitioners & pass appropriate orders thereupon within two weeks from today.

2

- 7. Keeping in view the fact that both the petitioners claim to have married against the wishes of their family(s), I direct that if possible, respondent No.2 (SSP) may call a meeting of the petitioners as well as the parents of both the petitioners and their other concerned family members in his/her presence so that the parties may endeavour to resolve their dispute(s) and live amicably.
- 8. Liberty is reserved in favour of private respondents to seek recall of this order on showing sufficient cause.
- 9. However, if the petitioners are found to have been involved in any other case, then this order shall not preclude the competent authority from taking lawful action against the petitioners.
- 10. The petition stands disposed off. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

(SUMEET GOEL) JUDGE

April 16, 2025

jatir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No Whether reportable: Yes/No