



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No. 2118 of 2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 05.04.2025**

Satyavir Parkash @ Satyavir Parkash Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Ms. Anita Balyan, Advocate and
Mr. Vicky Chauhan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner, by way of present petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, seek issuance of directions to the Executing Court to decide the execution proceedings in *Execution No. 623 of 2022*, titled “*Satyavir Parkash Versus State of Haryana and another*” within a time bound period in terms of decision dated 22.04.2021 rendered by the Hon’ble Apex Court in *Civil Appeal No(s). 1659-1660 of 2021*, titled “*Rahul S Shah Versus Jinendra Kumar Gandhi & Ors.*”.

[2] Admittedly, certain land owned by the petitioner-landowner was acquired vide notification dated 15.12.2006 and 14.12.2007 issued under Sections 4 and 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short “the Act”) respectively, followed by an award dated 03.07.2009 passed by the Land Acquisition Collector, whereby the petitioner-landowner and other persons were granted compensation to the tune of Rs.20 lakhs per acre. Feeling dissatisfied, the landowners filed petition under Section 18 of the

Act, which was decided by the Reference Court vide award dated 05.07.2014.

[3] Aggrieved thereof, the petitioner-landowner and other landowners filed Regular First Appeal before this Court, which was allowed vide order dated 16.03.2022 (Annexure P-1) enhancing the compensation to the tune of Rs. 42.51 lakhs per acre. Later, the petitioner filed the aforesaid Execution Petition No. 623 of 2022 on 11.10.2022 before the learned Additional District & Sessions Judge, Rohtak and the same is now fixed for 08.05.2025 for making payment of compensation to the petitioner, however, till date, the enhanced amount of compensation has not been disbursed to the petitioner and other landowners.

[4] Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner being aggrieved of the non-disbursal of amount of compensation has filed the present revision petition for issuance of direction to the Executing Court concerned to decide the execution application expeditiously, in a time bound manner.

[5] Notice of motion.

[6] On asking of the Court, Mr. Ravi Pratap Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice and waives services on behalf of the respondents and assures to make the necessary payment in favour of the petitioner.

[7] I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.

[8] A perusal of record shows that execution application (Annexure P-2) filed by the petitioner on 11.10.2022 is still pending and the petitioner is waiting to get his amount of compensation on the basis of order

[9] In *Rahul S. Shah Versus Jinendra Kumar Gandhi and others*, reported as *(2021) 6 SCC 418*, the Hon'ble Supreme Court held as follows:-

“That the executing Court must dispose of execution proceedings within 6 months from the date of filing which may be extended only by recording reasons in writing for such delay.”

[10] In view of above, the Court of Additional District and Sessions Judge, Rohtak (the Executing Court) is requested to decide / dispose off the aforesaid Execution Petition as expeditiously as possible, as per law, preferably within a period of four months from the date fixed in the same, i.e. 08.05.2025, as any further delay is going to cause serious prejudice to the rights of petitioners / landowners.

[11] Petition stands **disposed off** accordingly.

[12] It is made clear that nothing said hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the execution proceedings.

[13] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

'*dk kamra*'

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>