

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

\*\*\*

CWP-8860-2024

Date of decision : 22.04.2024

Dhillon Transport Regd. Mansa

... Petitioner

Versus

Regional Transport Authority Bathinda (Punjab)

... Respondent

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL***Present: Mr.P.S. Bawa, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr.T.P.S. Walia, AAG, Punjab.

**VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)**

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent to fix its case for hearing for variation of conditions of permit no.812/63 on Jhunir-Bhagi Bander via Sardulgarh route.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner, the petitioner had given a legal notice dated 02.02.2022 (Annexure P-3) and at this stage, would be satisfied in case the legal notice is considered by the competent authority of the State of Punjab in a time bound manner and if after considering the same, in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that the competent

authority of the State of Punjab would consider the said legal notice and decide the same within a period of two months from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of the State of Punjab to consider the legal notice dated 02.02.2022 (Annexure P-3) of the petitioner and decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of two months from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, after considering the same, the pleas of the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner and in case, the pleas of the petitioner are not found to be meritorious, then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of two months from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of the State of Punjab would consider and decide the legal notice independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE

April 22, 2024  
*Davinder Kumar*

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No