

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

125

CWP No. 6807 of 2019
Decided on : 13.03.2019

Vijay Dogra and others

. . . Petitioners

Versus

UCO Bank and others

. . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate for the petitioners.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Petitioners have approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of *Certiorari*, for setting aside the order dated 10.01.2016 (**Annexure P-3**) passed by the Additional Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar (respondent No.3) whereby the order under Section 14 of the Securitization and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Securities Interest Act, 2002 has been passed in favour of respondents No.1 & 2 to take physical possession of the mortgaged property and thereafter, on 09.01.2019, the possession notice (**Annexure P-4**) has been issued by respondents No.1 & 2.

2. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioners either to approach the respondent-Bank or take recourse to the alternative remedies as may be available to them, in accordance with law.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn. It shall, however, be open to the petitioners to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to them, in accordance with law.

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE**

March 13, 2019
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No