

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P No. 8901 of 2000

Date of decision : October 01, 2013

Niranjan Singh and others,

..... Petitioners

v.

Housing Board Haryana & others,

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. G.P Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Durgesh Aggarwal, Advocate
for Mr. Brij Bhushan Kaushik, Advocate
for the respondents.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

AJAY TEWARI, J (Oral)

The claim in the present writ petition is for protecting the pay of the petitioners.

Counsel appearing for the respondents states that necessary relief has been granted to petitioners No.1 to 3, and would also be granted to petitioner No.4 within a period of four weeks.

Counsel for the petitioners states that in view of the statement of counsel for the respondents, this writ petition has been rendered infructuous and that the same may be dismissed as such.

Ordered accordingly.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

October 01, 2013.
`kk'

