

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.752 of 2012 (O&M)**

**Date of decision : 20.03.2012**

Lt. Col. (Retd.) A.S.Dagar

....PETITIONER(S)

**VERSUS**

Maj. Gen. (Retd.) P.P.Das and another

....RESPONDENT(S)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE L.N.MITTAL**

**\*\*\***

Present : Mr.Vivek Lamba, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr.Lokesh Sinhal, Advocate,  
for respondent No.2.

**\*\*\***

**L.N.MITTAL, J (Oral)**

None is appearing for respondent No.1-Arbitrator. Respondent No.2 has put in appearance through counsel.

I have heard counsel for the parties and perused the case file.

In this revision petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, order dated 27.01.2012 (Annexure P-6), passed by respondent No.1-Arbitrator has been assailed, thereby declining permission to the petitioner hearing for being represented by counsel before the Arbitrator.

Counsel for respondent No.2 has no objection if petitioner is permitted to be represented by counsel before the Arbitrator.

In view of the aforesaid, instant revision petition is allowed. Impugned order (Annexure P-6), passed by Arbitrator is set aside. Application (Annexure P-5) moved by petitioner herein for being represented by advocate before the Arbitrator is allowed and the petitioner

accordingly be permitted to be represented by advocate before the Arbitrator-respondent No.1.

As prayed for by counsel for respondent No.2, the Arbitrator-respondent No.1 is directed to dispose of the arbitration case as expeditiously as possible.

**March 20, 2012**  
**mamta**

**(L.N.MITTAL )**  
**JUDGE**