

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

(109)

CWP-2260-2019

Date of Decision: May 02, 2019

Dhian Chand

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rajesh Punj, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to file a fresh one with better particulars showing the details of the persons whom the petitioner consider were junior to him and have been regularized.

No document showing that the persons who were junior to the petitioner and their service were regularized is attached with the present writ petition and therefore, in the absence of any such material, this Court is not in a position to decide the claim as being raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition.

Keeping in view the request made by the counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file a fresh one on the same cause of action with better particulars as stated above.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

May 02, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No