

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.2100 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of decision:03.04.2012.

M/s Roma Pharma

.....Petitioner

Vs.

M/s Martin and Harris Pvt. Ltd. and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Mr. Chirag Wadhwa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Tejinder Singh Dhindsa, J.(Oral)

Heard.

The present revision petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India is directed against the order dated 15.03.2012 passed by the Civil Judge, Senior Division, Karnal, whereby, the evidence of the petitioner/defendant has been ordered to be closed.

I have perused the impugned order. Even though, I do not find any infirmity in the impugned order, yet, counsel for the petitioner/defendant prays that two opportunities be given to the defendant/petitioner to lead his entire evidence for the effective adjudication of the matter pending before the trial Court.

I deem it appropriate to dispose of the present revision petition directing the trial Court to grant two effective opportunities to

the petitioner/defendant for leading the entire evidence subject to payment of Rs.5000/- as costs be paid to the respondent/plaintiff. It is however, made clear that if, the entire evidence is not lead by the petitioner/defendant on such two opportunities being granted, the impugned order shall remain operative. I am disposing of the revision petition without issuing notice to the other party so as to avoid any unnecessary delay as also to negate the burden of litigation expenses on the respondent/plaintiff.

The revision petition is allowed in the terms aforesaid.

April 03, 2012
harjeet

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE