IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 94 of 2015 (O&M) Date of decision: February 23, 2015

Guru Teg Bahadur Rice Mills, Focal Point, Sarupwali

... Petitioner

Versus

Dharamjit Singh Grewal, Principal Secretary and ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

--

Present: - Mr. J.P.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kamaldeep S. Sidhu, Addl. A.G., Punjab

Mr. Nitin Kaushal, Advocate for respondent No.5.

-

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

In the compliance report submitted by way of affidavit dated 04.02.2015 by Shri Tarlok Singh Gill, District Manager, Markfed, District Gurdaspur, it has been stated that a No-Dues Certificate dated 27.11.2014 was sent to the petitioner vide registered letter dated 27.11.2014. However, the said letter was received back with the noting 'Refused to Accept' on 29.11.2014. A copy of the letter dated 27.11.2014 has also been annexed therewith as Annexure R-5/1. Paragraph No.7 thereof is a list of amounts recoverable from the petitioner under various heads. 7(iv) states that excess bardana used by the rice miller for delivering of rice to FCI i.e.

ww.ecourtsindia.cc

ww.ecourtsindia.com

ww.ecourtsindia.com

www.ecourtsindia.com

COCP No. 94 of 2015 -2-

42 bags @ 31.77 amounting to Rs.1334/- is to be recoverable from the rice miller.

Qua this item, learned counsel for the petitioner states that this Court has stayed recovery of this amount qua all the rice millers in CWP No. 5179 of 2014 and the same can not to be said to be recoverable from the petitioner. Learned counsel for the respondent does not dispute this fact.

As the 'No Due Certificate' sent earlier has been returned back by the petitioner, the instant contempt petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent to once again issue a copy of the 'No Due Certificate' dated 27.11.2014 to the petitioner.

23.02.2015 dinesh (HARINDER SINGH SIDHU) JUDGE