IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-2983-2015 in
CWP-23675-2015
Date of Decision:20.11.2017

Akhtar Hussain .....Petitioner

Versus

Mohd. Saddiq and another ..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Abhilaksh Grover, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr.Hitesh Pandit, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

As per the reply filed by respondent no.1, i.e. Mohd. Saddiq, Naib Sadar Kanungo, in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Mewat, the statement made by him in Court on 06.11.2015, at the time when CWP-23675-2015 came up for hearing, to the effect that the petitioner had been served with a charge sheet on 29.10.2015 and that the issuance of the charge sheet has been concealed by the petitioner, was a statement made erroneously, in view of the fact that actually the charge sheet was issued on 29.10.2015, though eventually it was served on 06.11.2015, i.e. the date on which the writ petition came up for hearing before this Court.

Though the official should have been more careful before making that statement before the Court through learned State counsel on that date, it is considered not a sufficiently seriously enough matter, because the charge sheet actually was issued on 29.10.2015 and consequently, with a

warning to the respondent no.1 to be more careful while instructing learned State counsel, this petition is disposed of.

As regards respondent no.2, specifically there is no allegation against him with regard to having made a false statement, and he has only been impleaded as a respondent in view of the fact that he was the person who had issued the charge sheet.

Consequently, the rule is discharged in the case of both the respondents.

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

November 20, 2017
dharamvir

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
Whether Reportable : YES/NO