ww.ecourtsindia.com

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.2158 of 2015

Date of Decision: 28.8.2015

Pritam Lal

....Petitioner

Versus

M.K.Unni and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

....

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate

for the petitioner.

....

MAHESH GROVER.J.

This contempt petition seems to be premature as concededly the petitioner has been asked to rejoin pursuant to the directions issued by this court accepting the date of birth of the petitioner of the year 1955. If that is so, then the consequential benefits would also legitimately be released to the petitioner and there is already partial compliance of the order of this court.

The petition is disposed of leaving the petitioner to pursue the matter with the respondents in the first instance. They would be expected to release the consequential benefits expeditiously preferably within a period of four months.

28.8.2015

dss

(MAHESH GROVER) JUDGE