

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.1735 of 2014
Date of decision: 19.08.2014.**

K. Raman

....Petitioner

Versus

Vinni Mahajan

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Ms. Sangita Dhanda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anant Kataria, AAG, Haryana.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J. (Oral)

The instant contempt petition has been filed alleging non-compliance of the order dated 20.06.2014 passed by this Court while allowing CWP No.7645 of 2014.

Challenge before the Writ Court was to the impugned memo dated 15.05.2014 in terms of which the NOC dated 27.03.2014 issued to the petitioner under 60% quota had been withdrawn and the petitioner had been placed under 40% open merit quota for admission in MD/MS/Post Graduate Courses. The writ was allowed and the impugned memo dated 15.05.2014 was quashed and the petitioner was held entitled to NOC under the 60% quota.

On 10.07.2014 while issuing notice of motion in the instant contempt petition a time-frame of three weeks was granted for compliance of the order dated 20.06.2014.

It has gone un-controverted that the State had preferred LPA No.1230

of 2014 against the order passed by the learned Single Judge and on 04.08.2014 having heard arguments in part and having adjourned the matter to 29.08.2014, the Letters Patent Appeal Bench had directed that the order passed by the Writ Court be given effect subject to the final outcome of the appeal.

It is also a conceded position of fact that in the light of order dated 07.08.2014 appended as Annexure R-1/T along with reply filed by the respondent/contemner to the present contempt petition, the requisite NOC has been issued to the petitioner subject to the final outcome of LPA NO.1230 of 2014.

In the light of the factual position noticed hereinabove, this Court would not be inclined to proceed further in the present contempt petition and the same is accordingly disposed of.

Rule discharged.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

19.08.2014.
yakub