

RVWPET No.231 of 2014

Though this matter was listed for orders, on consent of the parties, the same is taken up for final disposal.

This is an application for review of the order dated 05.08.2014 passed by this Court. By the said order, this Court quashed the letter dated 03.04.2013 issued by the Under Secretary to Government in the Department of Health & Family Welfare vide Annexure-4 of the writ application and directed the opposite parties to grant lease in favour of the writ petitioner for opening of 24 hours Ayurvedic Medicine Shop in the premises of Gopabandhu Ayurveda Mahavidyalaya, Puri within a period of three months.

Mr. Mahanta, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that pursuant to the advertisement, the petitioner had applied for Ayurvedic Medicine Shop. He was the most suitable candidate. But his name was not recommended. There was no provision for recommending the selection to the Government. The petitioner was all through when an impression that the letter of allotment be issued in his favour. At this juncture, this Court directed the Government to grant lease in favour of the writ petitioner. The petitioner was not a party to the writ application. He is aggrieved by the order passed by this Court. Thus the order be recalled.

The submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is difficult to fathom.

On a cursory perusal of the statement vide Annexure-C to the review application, it is evident that pursuant to the advertisement, the Committee was constituted consisting of three doctors for scrutiny of applications on

30th September, 2011. Thereafter the name of the writ petitioner was recommended to Government. The Under Secretary to Government in the Department of Health & Family Welfare by letter dated 03.04.2013 directed the Director of AYUSH, Odisha, Bhubaneswar with a request to look into the matter as per the revised guideline of the Government. This Court quashed the same. Admittedly the name of the petitioner was not recommended. The petitioner was neither necessary nor proper party to the writ application.

The review petition is dismissed.

Dr.A.K.Rath, J.