



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK**  
**CRLMP No. 445 of 2024**

***Rajesh Kumar Senapati***

...

***Petitioner***

*Mr. S.K. Jena, Advocate*

*-versus-*

***State of Odisha and Others***

... ***Opposite Parties***

*Mr. T.K. Praharaj, SC*

**CORAM:**  
**JUSTICE G. SATAPATHY**

**ORDER(ORAL)**  
**13.08.2024**

**Order No.**  
**07.**

1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical Mode).
2. Heard, learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
3. The sole grievance of the petitioner is for a direction to the opposite parties to register an F.I.R. on the written complaint of the petitioner which was in fact entered as Station Diary No.10 of 2024.
4. In the course of hearing, Mr. T.K. Praharaj, learned Standing Counsel by producing the copy of the written complaint of the petitioner which is taken on record brings to the notice of the Court that on the basis of the report of the petitioner, the Station Diary entry has been made vide Station Diary No.10 of 2024, since the report of the petitioner was not disclosing commission of any cognizable offence, and thereby, the I.I.C., Marshaghai has not committed any illegality in the matter. Further, Mr. Praharaj brings it to the notice



of the Court through the affidavit filed by the I.I.C., Marshaghai along with the photocopy of two documents that the enquiry is going on on the grievance of the petitioner which has been registered vide Station Diary No.10 of 2024.

5. In view of the above facts and circumstance, this Court does not find any merit in the CRLMP and accordingly, the present CRLMP stands dismissed. However, the concerned Police Officer is directed to proceed with the enquiry in the matter in Station Diary No.10 of 2024 for reaching its logical end.

**(G. Satapathy)**  
**Judge**

**S. Sasmal**