

**W.P.No.8338/2015**

**[G.K.Choudhary (Girish Kumar vs. State of M.P., and others)]**

29-01-2016

Shri D.P.Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri S.K.Jain, Government Advocate for the respondents'/State.

This is second visit by the petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, challenging the order of rejection of his representation against the transfer order dated 17/07/2015 (Annexure P/1) consequent upon direction issued by this Court on 07/08/2015 in W.P.No.4825/2015(S).

Respondents' have considered the representation and rejected the same by a reasoned order dated 15/09/2015 (Annexure P/2). This Court does not intend to substitute its views for that of the respondents'. Respondents' being master are in a better position to utilize the services of the employees. Besides, transfer order appears to have been issued on account of administrative exigency and public interest. That apart, the respondents' have also considered the contention advanced with reference to the age of superannuation of the petitioner after about 02 years' and the same is also negated as the distance from Morena to Datia is only about 102 kms., As such, no exception thereto can be taken. No interference is warranted in the impugned order of transfer order and the detailed order passed by the respondents upon the representation of the petitioner, accordingly. Writ petition sans merit and is hereby dismissed.

(Rohit Arya)  
Judge

b/-