

**Janki Bai & Anr. Vs. State of M.P.**  
**M.Cr.C.No. 5964/2014**

**22/07/2014**

Shri, J.P. Kushwah, Advocate for the applicants.

Shri B. Raj Pandey, Public Prosecutor for the respondent/State.

Case Diary is perused.

Learned counsel for the rival parties are heard.

Applicants apprehend arrest in connection with offences punishable u/Ss. 498-A, 323/34 of IPC registered as Crime No.190/2014 at Police Station Karera, District Shivpuri.

Learned Government Advocate for the State opposed the application and prayed for its rejection by contending that on the basis of the allegations and the material available on record, no case for grant of anticipatory bail is made out.

Applicants apprehend arrest in respect of aforesaid offences, where applicants Nos. 1 & 2, who are mother-in-law and father-in-law of the complainant are alleged with dowry demand related cruelty including causing minor injuries to the complainant and their arrest in the matter of this nature may diminish possibility of settlement in future and considering the age of the applicants No 1 & 2, which is stated to be 55 and 60 years, this Court, without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, is inclined to extend the benefit of bail to the applicants.

Accordingly, bail application u/S 438 Cr.P.C is allowed in the following terms.

It is hereby directed that in the event of arrest, the applicants shall be released on bail on furnishing a personal bond of **Rs. 50,000/-(Rupees Fifty Thousand only)** each

**Janki Bai & Anr. Vs. State of M.P.**  
**M.Cr.C.No. 5964/2014**

with two solvent sureties each of the like amount to the satisfaction of Arresting Authority.

This order will remain operative subject to compliance of the following conditions by the applicants :-

1. The applicants will comply with all the terms and conditions of the bond executed by them;
2. The applicants will cooperate in the investigation/trial, as the case may be;
3. The applicants will not indulge themselves in extending inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to the Police Officer, as the case may be;
4. The applicants shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which they are accused;
5. The applicants will not seek unnecessary adjournments during the trial; and
6. The applicants will not leave India without previous permission of the trial Court/Investigating Officer, as the case may be.

A copy of this order be sent to the Court concerned for compliance.

C.c. as per rules.

**(Sheel Nagu)**  
**Judge**

**Durgekar\***