ww.cocaltenala.com

W.P.1703/14

01/05/2014

Shri N.S.Kirar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri A.S.Rathore, P.L. for the respondent No.1/State.

Shri Sushil Chaturvedi, Advocate for respondent No.3.

Shri Kunal Suryavanshi, Advocate for respondents No. 2 & 4. Heard.

At the outset, Shri Kirar submits that petitioner intends to prefer fresh representation and submit it before respondents No. 2 to 4 and the said authorities be directed to deal with it in accordance with law.

Shri Sushil Chaturvedi and Shri Kunal Survanshi, learned counsel for the respondents have no objection.

Petition is disposed of by reserving aforesaid liberty to the petitioner. This Court has no doubt that if such representation is preferred, it will be dealt with in accordance with law by the competent authorities. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

(Sujoy Paul) Judge

VV