

1  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH  
AT JABALPUR**

**BEFORE  
HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE VINAY SARAF  
ON THE 19<sup>th</sup> OF DECEMBER, 2023  
WRIT PETITION No. 29216 of 2023**

**BETWEEN:-**

**P.L. SAHU S/O LATE PRAGI LAL SAHU, AGED ABOUT 65  
Y E A R S , OCCUPATION: RETIRED ASSISTANT  
STATISTICAL OFFICER DR. HARI SINGH GOUR  
VISHWAVIDYALAYA R/O K-3, BALAK COMPLEX, TILI  
WARD, SAGAR (MADHYA PRADESH)**

**.....PETITIONER**

**(BY SHRI VINAYAK PRASAD SHAH-ADVOCATE)**

**AND**

- 1. DR. HARISINGH GOUR VISHWAVIDYALAYA  
SAGAR A CENTRAL UNIVERSITY THROUGH ITS  
REGISTRAR UNIVERSITY CAMPUS, SAGAR  
(MADHYA PRADESH)**
- 2. UNION OF INDIA THROUGH THE SECRETARY  
DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER EDUCATION  
MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCES NEW DELHI  
(DELHI)**
- 3. THE VICE CHANCELLOR DR. HARISINGH GOUR  
VISHWAVIDYALAYA SAGAR (MADHYA PRADESH)**
- 4. SHRI S.P. UPADHYAY, JOINT REGISTRAR  
(ESTABLISHMENT) DR. HARISINGH GOUR  
VISHWAVIDYALAYA SAGAR (MADHYA PRADESH)**
- 5. SHRI VIVEK BISARIA, ASSISTANT REGISTRAR  
(ACCOUNT) DR. HARISINGH GOUR  
VISHWAVIDYALAYA SAGAR (MADHYA PRADESH)**

**.....RESPONDENTS**

**(NONE)**

.....  
*This petition coming on for admission this day, the court passed the*

*following:*

**ORDER**

Heard on the question of admission.

2. By the present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has prayed for issuance of necessary directions to the respondents to decide the claim of the petitioner and extend the benefit of the pay-scale along with the compound interest.

3. It appears from the record that the Committee constituted to examine the matter in its meeting held on 20th and 21st February, 2020 has already recommended that the notional fixation may be done from 3.6.1987 (the date of appointment of petitioner to the post) and pre-revised pay-scale at par with pay scale of Rs.5500-9000/- and financial benefit may be given from 1.4.1997. However, the actual benefit has not been given to the petitioner despite the recommendation of the Committee. The petitioner has submitted several request letters and representations but the same have not been decided and the recommendation of the Committee has also not been implemented.

4. In view of the above, I deem it proper to dispose of this petition with direction to the respondents to decide the representation of the petitioner in view of the recommendation of the Committee within a period of 45 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order in accordance with law and if the petitioner is found eligible for the benefit as recommended by the Committee, the same be extended to the petitioner along with all other consequential benefits.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

6. With the aforesaid, the writ petition stands **disposed of**.

P/-

**(VINAY SARAF)**  
**JUDGE**

