

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N. NAGARESH

WEDNESDAY, THE 16TH DAY OF OCTOBER 2024/24TH ASWINA, 1946

WP(C) NO. 36157 OF 2024

PETITIONER:

GEETHA N
AGED 51 YEARS, D/O SANKARAN NARAYANAN,
RESIDING AT NOTTIYATH HOUSE, LAKKIDI PERUR,
LAKKIDI PERUR-II VILLAGE, OTTAPALAM TALUK,
PALAKKAD DISTRICT, PIN - 679 302.

BY ADVS.

N.SATHEESH KUMAR(NEMMARA) SANTHOSHKUMAR N.

RESPONDENTS:

- 1 THE KERALA STATE FINANCIAL ENTERPRISE LTD.
 REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR,
 "BHADRATHA", MUSEUM ROAD, THRISSUR,
 PIN 680 001.
- 2 SPECIAL DEPUTY TAHSILDAR, KSFE PALAKKAD OFFICE OF THE SPECIAL DEPUTY TAHSILDAR, PALAKKAD II BRANCH, OPP. AROMA THEATRE, PIN 678 001.
- THE MANAGER
 CHANDRA NAGAR BRANCH, 12/386, NH JUNCTION,
 COIMBATORE ROAD, CHANDRANAGAR COLONY,
 PALAKKAD, PIN 678 007.
- 4 THE VILLAGE OFFICER
 LEKKIDI PERUR II VILLAGE, OLD LAKKIDI,
 OTTAPALAM TALUK, PALAKKAD, PIN 679 302.



WP(C) No.36157 of 2024

:2:

BY ADVS

BINDU, STANDING COUNSEL RESMI THOMAS, GOVERNMENT PLEADER, FOR

R4

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) **HAVING** COME **FOR** UP ADMISSION ON THE COURT ON 16.10.2024, THE SAME DAY **DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

www.ecourtsindia.com



2024:KER:76828

WP(C) No.36157 of 2024

:3:

JUDGMENT

Dated this the 16th day of October, 2024

The petitioner has approached this Court aggrieved by the coercive proceedings for recovery of financial advance made by the Kerala State Financial Enterprise Limited to the petitioner, invoking the provisions of the Kerala Revenue Recovery Act, 1968.

- 2. The 3rd respondent paid ₹15 lakhs to the petitioner as Loan in the year 2020. The petitioner states that though the petitioner made remittances promptly during the initial repayment period of the financial advance, she could not pay the repayment instalments promptly later. The repayment of loan fell into arrears later. It happened due to reasons beyond the control of the petitioner.
- 3. Though the petitioner requested the 3^{rd} respondent to permit the petitioner to repay the overdue

WP(C) No.36157 of 2024

:4:

amounts in easy monthly instalments, the respondent authorities were not yielding. The authorities, instead, started coercive proceedings, invoking the provisions of the Kerala Revenue Recovery Act, 1968 and issued Ext.P1 notice.

- 4. The petitioner states that she is still in a position to clear the overdue amounts towards the loan, if sufficient time is given to clear the dues in easy monthly instalments. If the respondents are permitted to continue with the coercive proceedings and auction the secured assets provided by the petitioner, she will be put to untold hardship and loss.
- 5. Standing Counsel entered appearance on behalf of the 3rd respondent and denied all the statements made by the petitioner. On behalf of the respondents, it is submitted that the loan was given to the petitioner in the year 2020. The petitioner committed default in repaying the loan.



WP(C) No.36157 of 2024

:5:

- 6. The 3rd respondent repeatedly reminded the petitioner and required her to clear the dues. The petitioner deliberately omitted to do so. In the circumstances, the 3rd respondent had no other go than to proceed against the petitioner invoking the provisions of the Kerala Revenue Recovery Act, 1968. The impugned Ext.P1 was issued in these circumstances. The petitioner has not advanced any legal reasons to thwart the coercive proceedings initiated by the 3rd respondent.
- 7. The Standing Counsel, however, submitted that if the petitioner is ready and willing to make a substantial payment soon and remit the balance outstanding amount immediately thereafter, a short breathing time can be granted to the petitioner to clear the dues. The Standing Counsel submitted that the outstanding amount due to the 3rd respondent from the petitioner as on 31.10.2024 is ₹23,58,421/-.



WP(C) No.36157 of 2024

:6:

- 8. I have heard the counsel for the petitioner and the Standing Counsel representing the 1st respondent. I have also heard the Government Pleader representing respondents 2 and 4.
- 9. The specific case of the petitioner is that the petitioner has been making the repayment and maintaining the loan account initially. The default in repayment of the loan occurred lately due to reasons beyond the control of the petitioner. The petitioner has provided substantial security which will safeguard the interest of the 3rd respondent.
- 10. In the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to dispose of the writ petition giving a short and reasonable time to the petitioner to clear off the liability.
- 11. The writ petition is therefore disposed of with the following directions:
 - (i) The petitioner shall remit the outstanding amount of ₹23,58,421/- in 24

WP(C) No.36157 of 2024

:7:

consecutive and equal monthly instalments along with accruing interest and other administrative charges, if any. First of such instalments shall be paid on or before 16.11.2024.

- (ii) If the petitioner commits single default in making payments as directed above, the respondents will be at liberty to continue with the coercive proceedings against the petitioner in accordance with law.
- (iii) If the petitioner makes payments as directed above, coercive proceedings, if any, against the petitioner shall stand deferred.

Sd/-N.NAGARESH JUDGE

AMR



WP(C) No.36157 of 2024

:8:

APPENDIX OF WP(C) 36157/2024

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

Exhibit P-1	A PHOTOCOPY OF THE DEMAND NOTICE AND
	ATTACHMENT NOTICE ISSUED BY THE
	SEPCIAL DEPUTY TAHSILDAR(RR), KSFE,
	PALAKKAD DATED 22/07/2024 AND
	24/07/2024.

Exhibit P-2	A PHOTOCOPY OF THE SALE DEED NO.
	2234/2020 OF SRO OTTAPALAM DATED
	04/11/2020.

Exhibit P-3	A PHOTOCOPY OF THE LAND TAX RECEIPT
	ISSUED BY THE VILLAGE OFFICER
	LAKKIDIPERUR-2, DATED 15/12/2020.