IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN THURSDAY, THE 26TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/5TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 35838 of 2015 (D)

PETITIONER:

K.LASITHA AGED 32 YEARS W/O. P. SUBODHAN, HIGH SCHOOL ASSISTANT (MATHS), KADACHIRA HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL, P.O. KADACHIRA, KANNUR DISTRICT.

BY ADVS.SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED SRI.M.SAJJAD

RESPONDENTS:

- THE STATE OF KERALA, 1. RERESENTED BY ITS ADDITIONAL CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT **GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001**
- THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS, **JAGATHY, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 014.**
- 3. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER, KANNUR, P.O. KANNUR 670 002.
- 4. THE MANAGER, KADACHIRA HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL, P.O. KADACHIRA, KANNUR DISTRICT 670 621.

R1 TO R3 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. M.J. RAJASHREE

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 26-11-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING: WP(C).No. 35838 of 2015 (D)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

- P1: TRUE COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER OF THE PETITONER DATED 8.10.2012
- P2: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE ADDITIONAL DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION DATED 29.06.2015
- P3: TRUE COPY OF THE REVISION PETITION FILED BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT DATED 11.07.2015
- P4: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE ADDITIONAL DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION DATED 01.09.2014
- P5: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE ADDITIONAL DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION DATED 28.05.2015
- P6: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER DATED 5.7.2014
- P7: TRUE COPY OF THE G.O.(RT) NO.5310/2014/G.EDN. DATED 28.11.2014 OF THE GOVERNMENT
- P8: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER DATED 21.7.2015

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

JJJ

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P.(C) No. 35838 of 2015 (D)

Dated this the 26th day of November, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner's grievance is that Ext.P3 revision dated 11.07.2015 has not been considered till date by the $1^{\rm st}$ respondent.

2. Considering the confined prayer made, there shall be a direction to the 1st respondent to consider the revision, in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing, within three months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this judgment.

The Writ Petition is disposed of without any observation on merits, which shall be decided by the $1^{\rm st}$ respondent.

Sd/-K.VINOD CHANDRAN, JUDGE

jjj 26/11/15