IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM PRESENT

TR.P(C) NO.695 OF 2023

SEEKING A TRANSFER OP(DIV) 2740/2021 OF FAMILY COURT, ERNAKULAM TO FAMILY COURT, ALUVA

PETITIONER/RESPONDENT:

JYOTHY ANTHRAPER, AGED 37 YEARS, D/O.KURIAN ANTHRAPER, PRESENTLY RESIDING IN THE ADDRESS XI/43, NEAR JYOTHI NIVAS PUBLIC SCHOOL, U.C.COLLEGE P.O, ALUVA, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT, PIN - 683102.

BY ADV. G.SREEKUMAR (CHELUR)

RESPONDENT/PETITIONER:

TOMY JOSEPH, AGED 43 YEARS, S/O.LATE JOSEPH JOHN, RESIDING IN THE ADDRESS SRAMPICAL HOUSE, KUVEMPUROAD, SHIMOGA, STATE OF KARNATAKA, PIN - 577201.

BY ADVS.
BOBY MATHEW
K.MEERA(K/587/1991)

THIS TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 14.12.2023, ALONG WITH Tr.P(C).710/2023, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

- 2 -

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C. JAYACHANDRAN $\mbox{THURSDAY, THE } 14^{\rm TH} \mbox{ DAY OF DECEMBER } 2023/23 \mbox{RD AGRAHAYANA}, \\ 1945$

TR.P(C) NO.710 OF 2023

SEEKING A TRANSFER OP NO.2711/2019 OF FAMILY COURT,
ERNAKULAM TO FAMILY COURT, ALUVA

PETITIONER/PETITIONER:

JYOTHY ANTHRAPER, AGED 37 YEARS, D/O.KURIAN ANTHRAPER, PRESENTLY RESIDING IN THE ADDRESS XI/43, NEAR JYOTHI NIVAS PUBLIC SCHOOL, U.C.COLLEGE P.O, ALUVA, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT, PIN - 683102.

BY ADV.G.SREEKUMAR (CHELUR)

RESPONDENT/RESPONDENT:

- 1 TOMY JOSEPH, AGED 43 YEARS, S/O.LATE JOSEPH JOHN, RESIDING IN THE ADDRESS SRAMPICAL HOUSE, KUVEMPUROAD, SHIMOGA, STATE OF KARNATAKA, PIN - 577201.
- JOHNY JOSEPH, AGED 54 YEARS,
 S/O.LATE JOSEPH JOHN,
 RESIDING IN THE ADDRESS SRAMPICAL HOUSE,
 KUVEMPUROAD, SHIMOGA,
 STATE OF KARNATAKA, PIN 577201.

THIS TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 14.12.2023, ALONG WITH Tr.P(C).695/2023, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

- 3 -

ORDER

Dated, this the 14^{th} day of December, 2023

These are petitions seeking transfer of O.P. (Div) No.2740/2021 and O.P.No.2711/2021, both pending before the Family Court, Ernakulam to the Family Court, Aluva. The petitioner would contend that the Original Petitions were filed before the Family Court, Ernakulam, for the reason that the Family Court, Aluva was not established at that time. Now, the Family Court having been established at Aluva and the petitioner being a resident of Aluva, it is only just and convenient that the matter be transferred to the Family Court, Aluva.

2. Learned counsel would rely upon the decisions of the Honourable Supreme Court in <u>Sumita Singh</u>
v. <u>Kumar Sanjay & another</u> [(2001) 10 SCC 41], which specifies that the convenience of the wife

- 4 -

is a matter to be taken into account, while fixing the forum for adjudication of matrimonial disputes.

- 3. This application is seriously opposed by the learned counsel for the respondents, pointing out that the Original Petitions sought to be transferred have been consolidated by the Family Court, Ernakulam and trial has begun on the same. Proof affidavit has already been filed. A transfer at this juncture, is not going to be of any benefit/use, besides not being sanctioned by law.
- 4. Having heard the learned counsel appearing on both sides, this Court is not inclined to allow the instant Transfer Petitions. It is true that the convenience of the wife is surely a matter to be taken into account, while fixing the forum for adjudication of matrimonial disputes, as has been

- 5 -

held by the Honourable Supreme Court in a catena of decisions, including the one in Sumita Singh (supra). However, this Court notice distinguishing feature in this case, that the trial has already begun. The two Original Petitions sought to be transferred have been consolidated by the Family Court, Ernakulam and proof affidavit in lieu of chief examination has already been filed. At this stage, a transfer of these two Original Petitions to the Family Court, Aluva, is not going to benefit any of the parties.

5. Even though the convenience of the petitioner /wife is espoused as a ground, the same cannot be recognised in the peculiar factual circumstance that the trial has already begun. If the instant transfer sought for is allowed, the already begun trial will be stalled and the matter will have to be listed again for trial by the Family Court,

- 6 -

Aluva, depending upon the workload and other matters, which are already listed for trail in that court.

In such circumstances, these transfer petitions fail and the same will stand dismissed.

Sd/-

C.JAYACHANDRAN, JUDGE

WW

- 7 -

APPENDIX OF TR.P(C) 695/2023

PETITIONER'S ANNEXURES:

ANNEXURE A1	Α	TRUE	COPY	OF	THE	ORIG	INAL	PET:	ITION
	Fl	LED	BEFOR	RE	THE	FP	MILY	C	OURT,
	ΕF	RNAKUL	AM AS	0	P(D)	NO.	2740	OF	2021
	Fl	LED D	ATED 1	6.0	3.202	0.			

ANNEXURE	A2	Α	TRUE	COPY		OF	ΤF	ΗE	OBJE	CTION	WITH
		COT	JNTER	CLAIM		FILE	CD	BY	THE	PETI	TIONER
		HEI	REIN	IN	A)	NNEX	UR	E-I	FI	LED	DATED
		06	.07.20	23.							

ANNEXURE A3 A TRUE COPY OF THE OBJECTION FILED TO THE COUNTER CLAIM AS PRODUCED AS ANNEXURE-II FILED DATED 30.09.2023.

RESPONDENT'S ANNEXURES:

ANNEXURE R1(A)	TRU:	E CO	PY	OF	THE	JUDGMEN	IT OF	THE
	HON	'BLE	SUPR	EME	COURT	DATED	09/09	/2021
	IN	TRAN	SFER	PET	CITION	(CIVII	L) NO:	874
	OF	2020.						

- ANNEXURE R1(B) A TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 22/08/2022 PASSED BY THIS HON'BLE COURT IN OP (FC) 255 OF 2022.
- ANNEXURE R1(C) A TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 10.07.2023 IN OP (CRL) 532 OF 2022 OF THIS HONOURABLE COURT.
- ANNEXURE R1(D) A TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 13/09/2023 IN OP (CRL) 704 OF 2023 OF THIS HONOURABLE COURT.

- 8 -

APPENDIX OF TR.P(C) 710/2023

PETITIONER'S ANNEXURES:

ANNEXURE 1	FILED BEFORE THE FAMILY COURT, ERNAKULAM AS O.P NO.2711 OF 2019 FILED DATED 18.11.2019.
ANNEXURE 2	A TRUE COPY OF THE OBJECTION WITH COUNTER CLAIM FILED BY THE RESPONDENTS HEREIN IN ANNEXURE-I FILED DATED 7.12.2022.
ANNEXURE 3	A TRUE COPY OF THE OBJECTION AND THE REPLICATION FILED TO ANNEXURE-II FILED DATED 21.3.2023.