

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE PIUS C.KURIAKOSE  
&  
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BABU MATHEW P.JOSEPH

WEDNESDAY, THE 5TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2012/14TH BHADRA 1934

WP(Crl.).No. 413 of 2012 (S)  
-----

PETITIONER(S) :  
-----

DEEPUMON, AGED 29 YEARS  
S/O.KRISHNAN NAIR, CHARUVILA PUTHEN VEEDU, IRULLOOR  
MITHUNMALA P.O, TRIVANDRUM 695 610.

BY ADV. SRI.V.V.SURESH

RESPONDENT(S) :  
-----

1. SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE  
THRISSUR DISTRICT. THRISSUR 680 007.
2. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE  
VIYOOR POLICE STATION  
THRISSUR DISTRICT. THRISSUR 680 007.
3. VETTATH RADHAKRISHNAN NAIR  
VARUNA,VIYOOR P.O, THRISSUR 680 007.
4. K.G.SHOBHANA  
W/O.VETTATH RADHAKRISHNAN NAIR VARUNA,VIYOOR P.O  
THRISSUR 680 007.

BY ADV. SMT.M.M.DEEPA  
BY ADV. SRI.V.A.JOHNSON (VARIKKAPPALLIL)  
BY ADV. SRI.MADHU RADHAKRISHNAN  
BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.P.M.SANEER  
ADDL.DGP K.I.ABDUL RASHEED (R1 & R2)

THIS WRIT PETITION (CRIMINAL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON  
05-09-2012, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT P1. TRUE COPY OF PHOTOGRAPHS OF PETITIONER WITH DETENUE.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE.

**PIUS C.KURIAKOSE &  
BABU MATHEW P.JOSEPH JJ.**

-----  
**W.P.(CrI.) NO. 413 OF 2012**  
-----

Dated this the 5<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2012

**JUDGMENT**

Pius C.Kuriakose,J

The petitioner seeks a writ of Habeas corpus for production of the body of his love Anjana R.Nair, the daughter of respondents 3 and 4, on the allegation that against the wishes of Anjana R.Nair, she is illegally detained by respondents 3 and 4.

2. On considering this writ petition for admission, this court issued a rule nisi to respondents 3 and 4 for production of Anjana R.Nair before this court. Accordingly, respondents 3 and 4 produced Anjana R.Nair, the alleged detainee, before us. We interacted with Anjana R.Nair in detail. She told us that the claim of the petitioner that he and she are deeply in love is true. But, she told us further that the allegation of the petitioner that she is being illegally detained by her parents-respondents 3 and 4 is incorrect. According to her, she is a free person. She told us that she intends to get married to the petitioner. But, she wants

the marriage should take place with the blessings of her parents.

3. After our interaction with Anjana.R.Nair, we talked to the parents. The parents told us that they will not impose any marriage on Anjana R.Nair against her wishes. We interacted with the petitioner also and he was apprised of what Smt.Anjana R.Nair and her parents told us.

4. The only question that arises for decision in this writ petition is whether the writ sought for should be granted. We are of the view that in view of the facts revealed from our conversation with Anjana R.Nair, her parents and the petitioner, the above question can be answered only in the negative.

We dismiss this writ petition, however without any order as to costs.

Sd/-

**PIUS C.KURIAKOSE,  
JUDGE**

Sd/-

**BABU MATHEW P.JOSEPH,  
JUDGE.**

dpk

/True copy/ P.A to Judge.

