

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

WEDNESDAY, THE 19TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2012/28TH AGRAHAYANA 1934

WP(C).No. 30499 of 2012 (J)

PETITIONER(S):

SMT. RAJEENA K.JOB, PROPRIETRIX, M/s GLOBAL ENTERPRISES,
10/866, S.S.KRISHNAN ROAD, FORT KOCHI, COCHIN-1

BY ADVS.SRI.R.O.MUHAMED SHEMEEM
SMT.NASEEHA BEEGUM P.S.
SRI.MUDASSER AHAMED

RESPONDENT(S):

1. AUTHORISED OFFICER, STATE BANK OF TRAVANCORE,
STRESSED ASSET RESOLUTION CENTRE SBT BHAVAN,
PANAMPILLI NAGAR, EKM, KOCHI 682 035
2. THE BRANCH MANAGER, STATE BANK OF TRAVANCORE,
KUMBALANGHI BRANCH, KP XI/161, SKM BUILDING,
KUMBALANGHI, EKM, KOCHI-682 006
3. ASS: GENERAL MANAGER, STATE BANK OF TRAVANCORE,
ZONAL OFFICE, PANAMPILLI NAGAR, EKM, KOCHI 682 035

BY SRI.SATHISH K.NINAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
19-12-2012, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

EXHIBITS

P1- TRUE COPY OF THE STATEMENT OF ACCOUNT FOR THE PERIOD FROM 5.2.11 TO 9.1.12

P2- TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE DATED 23.1.12 OF 1ST RESPONDENT

P3- TRUE COPY OF THE WRITTEN OBJECTION DATED 13.3.12 OF PETITIONER TO 1ST RESPONDENT

P4- TRUE COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION DATED 20.3.12 OF 1ST RESPONDENT

P5- TRUE COPY OF REPRESENTATION DATED 20.3.12 TO 3RD RESPONDENT

P6- TRUE COPY OF ORDER DATED 12.4.12 IN W.P.(C).9395 OF 2012

P7- TRUE COPY OF THE STATEMENT OF ACCOUNT OF THE PETITIONER WITH THE STATE BANK OF TRAVANCORE, KUMBALANGHI BRANCH, PERTAINING TO 5.2.11 TO 18.7.12

P8- TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 12.12.12 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER TO THE RESPONDENTS

/true copy/

sd/- P.A. To Judge

ANTONY DOMINIC, J

.....
W.P.(C).30499/2012
.....

Dated this the 19th day of December, 2012

JUDGMENT

Petitioner is a defaulter to the respondent Bank and has filed this writ petition seeking an instalment facility to pay the balance amount due.

2. It is seen that the petitioner had already filed W.P.(C).9395/12, which was disposed of by Ext.P6 judgment granting an instalment facility. Therefore, if the petitioner wants any modification of the directions already issued, remedy of the petitioner is to move appropriate application in W.P.(C).9395/12 and not to file a fresh writ petition.

Therefore, the writ petition cannot be entertained. It is accordingly dismissed.

Sd/- ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE

mrCS

/true copy/ PA To Judge