

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

THURSDAY, THE 9TH DAY OF OCTOBER 2014/17TH ASWINA, 1936

WP(C).No. 26165 of 2014 (U)

PETITIONER(S):

**JOSEPH MATHAI, KAINNIKKARA HOUSE,
INDUSTRIAL ESTATE NAGAR P.O.,
CHANGANACHERRY.**

BY ADV. SRI.P.DEEPAK

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE SECRETARY, REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
KOTTAYAM - 686 001.**
- 2. SHAMEER BASHEER, KALLUKOMBIL HOUSE,
PONKUNNAM, KOTTAYAM - 686 506.**

R1 BY SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.BIJU MEENATTOOR

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
09-10-2014, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

msv/

WP(C).No. 26165 of 2014 (U)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

**EXHIBIT-P1: A TRUE COPY OF THE TIME SCHEDULE ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER'S
STAGE CARRIAGE KL-33/F 408.**

**EXHIBIT-P2: A TRUE COPY OF PROCEEDINGS OF THE 1ST RESPONDENT
DATED 15.1.2014.**

**EXHIBIT-P3: A TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 19.8.2014 IN
MVARP NO.21/2014.**

EXHIBIT-P4: A TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 23.9.2014.

EXHIBIT-P4(a): A TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 1.10.2014.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:

NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.S. TO JUDGE

msv/

K.VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

W.P.(C) No. 26165 of 2014 ()

Dated this the 9th day of October, 2014

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is a stage carriage operator, and so is the second respondent; the latter of whom had moved an application for revision of his timings, which was rejected by Ext.P2.

2.The rejection was made after hearing all affected parties including the latter. However, the second respondent moved a revision before the State Transport Appellate Tribunal, in which there was a remand made, as per Ext.P3. The contention of the petitioner is that, the petitioner who was a stage carriage operator and who had objected to the revision of timings before the Regional Transport Authority, had not been made a party in Ext.P3. The only prayer pressed by the petitioner before this Court is that the petitioner's objection may be considered at the time of revision of

timings in the timing conference scheduled on 14.10.2014.

3. In such circumstances, the petitioner's objection shall be considered in the timing conference scheduled on 14.10.2014 or any adjournment made thereon, to comply with Ext.P3 remand.

The writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
K.VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE

AMV/09/10/