# IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

#### PRESENT:

# THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE P.V.ASHA

TUESDAY, THE 4TH DAY OF APRIL 2017/14TH CHAITHRA, 1939

WP(C).No. 11711 of 2017 (L)

PETITIONER(S):

SRUTHI.K., LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOL ASSISTANT, HIDAYATHUL ISLAM MADRASS LP. SCHOOL, AZHIKODE, KANNUR DISTRICT.

BY ADVS. SRI.M.SASINDRAN SRI.V.VENUGOPAL

## **RESPONDENT(S):**

- 1. THE MANGER, HIDAYATHUL ISLAM MADRASSA L.P. SCHOOL, AZHIKODE- 670 009, KANNUR DISTRICT.
- 2. THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER, PO.PAPPINISSERY-670 562, KANNUR DISTRICT.
- 3. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER, KANNUR- 670 001.
- 4. THE HEAD MASTER, HIDAYATHUL ISLAM MADRASSA L.P. SCHOOL, AZHIKODE - 670 009, KANNUR DISTRICT.

R2 & R3 BY SR. GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. NISHA BOSE

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 04-04-2017, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE **FOLLOWING:** 

Msd.

WP(C).No. 11711 of 2017 (L)

#### **APPENDIX**

### **PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS:**

- EXHIBIT P1 A TRUE COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER DATED 19.07.2017 OF THE PETITIONER.
- EXHIBIT P2 A TRUE COPY OF THE G.O (P) NO. 29.206/G.EDN DATED 29.01.2016
- EXHIBIT P3 A TRUE COPY OF THE APPEAL FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 3RD RESPONDENT.
- EXHIBIT P4 A TRUE COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION ISSUED BY THE 4TH RESPONDENT TO THE PETITIONER.
- EXHIBIT P5 A TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER DATED 20.09.2016 WHICH WAS SERVED ON THE PETITIONER ON 20.03.2017.
- EXHIBIT P6 A TRUE COPY OF THE REQUEST MADE BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT.
- EXHIBIT P7 A TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

#### RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS :

**NIL** 

//TRUE COPY//

PA.TO JUDGE.

Msd.

### P.V.ASHA, J.

# W.P.(C). No. 11711 of 2017

Dated this the 4<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2017

## **JUDGMENT**

The petitioner who is an LPSA under the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent School is aggrieved by the termination of her service when she was on maternity leave, while she was holding the post of LPSA with approval from 01.06.2015 onwards. The petitioner submits that aggrieved by the action of the Manager, she has approached the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent with Exts.P6 and P7 representations. The only relief sought in this writ petition is for a direction to the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent to pass orders on Exts.P6 and P7.

2. I heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader.

In the above circumstances, the writ petition is disposed of directing the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent to consider and pass orders on Exts.P6 and P7 representations, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent/Manager, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

Sd/-P.V.ASHA, Judge

lsn