

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

MONDAY, THE 20TH DAY OF JANUARY 2014/30TH POUSHA, 1935

WP(C).No. 1924 of 2014 (M)

PETITIONER(S) :

RISHAN MUHAMMED V.T.,  
AGED 16 YEARS,  
VADAKKETHALAKKAL HOUSE,  
NEAR WATER WORKS,  
ALUVA 683101  
REPRESENTED BY HIS GUARDIAN AND FATHER THASHKANT V.S.

BY ADV. SRI.AJITH KRISHNAN

RESPONDENT(S) :

1. STATE OF KERALA,  
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY,  
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT,  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM- 695001
2. DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM -695014.
3. GENERAL CONVENER,  
KERALA STATE SCHOOL KALOLSAVA COMMITTEE,  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695001.
4. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION,  
ERNAKULAM -682030.

BY SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.K.C.VINCENT.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION  
ON 20-01-2014, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE  
FOLLOWING:

rvs/

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS

-----

EXHIBIT P1: TRUE COPY OF THE RANK LIST PUBLISHED FOR THE  
DAFMUTTU.

EXHIBIT P2: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO F1/16487/2014 (50) DATED  
15-01-2014 ISSUED BY THE 4TH RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS :

-----

NIL.

/true copy/

P.A.TO JUDGE

rvs/

**P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, J.**

.....  
**W.P.(C)No.1924 OF 2014**  
.....

**Dated this the 20<sup>th</sup> January, 2014**

**J U D G M E N T**

The petitioners' team had participated in the item 'Dafmuttu' in the Ernakulam Revenue District School Kalolsavam, 2013-14. The case of the petitioner is that though they performed well, they could not secure first prize, which virtually prevented them from competing in the State Level. The main ground of challenge raised in the appeal was that the stage was defective to perform the item. The appeal came to be rejected stating that there was no pith or substance in the contentions raised by the petitioner and that there was a difference of '3' marks between the petitioner's team and that of the first prize winner. It is also stated that the decision in the appeal was taken, after hearing the petitioner

When the matter is taken up for consideration today, the learned Government Pleader submits that there is no merit in the case and that the same stage and infrastructure were made use of by all the participants. It is also pointed out that the programme has already commenced. In the said circumstance,

**W.P.(C)No.1924 OF 2014**

2

this Court does not find any reason to interfere. It is dismissed accordingly.

**P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON  
JUDGE**

/k