

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

## PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE ANU SIVARAMAN

TUESDAY, THE 6<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF JULY 2021 / 15<sup>TH</sup> ASHADHA, 1943WP(C) NO. 13367 OF 2021PETITIONER:

SUHAILATH N.P  
AGED 30 YEARS, WIFE OF ISMAIL, LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOL  
TEACHER, PPTMALP SCHOOL, PUNNAKKAD, MANKADA, MALAPPURAM  
DISTRICT 679 324

BY ADVS.  
V.A.MUHAMMED  
M.SAJJAD

RESPONDENTS:

- 1 THE STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY TO  
GOVERNMENT, GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT  
ANNEXE II, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001
- 2 THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER, B2 BLOCK, CIVIL  
STATION, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT 676 505
- 3 THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER, MANKADA,  
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT 679 324
- 4 THE MANAGER, PPTMALP SCHOOL, PUNNAKKAD, MANKADA,  
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT 679 324
- 5 THE HEADMASTER, PPTMALP SCHOOL, PUNNAKKAD, MANKADA,  
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT 679 324

SMT. NISHA BOSE-SR.G.P.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION  
ON 06.07.2021, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE  
FOLLOWING:

**JUDGMENT**

This writ petition is filed seeking the following reliefs:

- (1) *call for the records relating to Exhibits P2 and P4 and set aside the originals of the same by the issue of a writ of certiorari or other appropriate writ or order.*
- (2) *issue a writ of mandamus or other appropriate writ, order or direction commanding the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent to approve the appointment of the petitioner as LPST from 01.06.2020 and disburse the attendant benefits forthwith.*
- (3) *issue a writ of mandamus or other appropriate writ, order or direction commanding the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders upon Exhibit P5 after affording an opportunity of being heard to the petitioner within a time limit.*

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader.

3. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner was appointed as LPST in the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent's school from 01.06.2020. The approval for appointment has been rejected by the AEO. The appeal preferred by the petitioner has also been rejected by the DEO. The petitioner therefore approached the Government with Ext.P5 revision petition and seeks a consideration of the same.

Having heard the learned Government Pleader also, there will be a direction to the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent to take up, consider and pass orders on Ext.P5 revision petition preferred by the petitioner with notice to the

petitioner as well as the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent and after hearing them through any appropriate means, including by video conferencing. Orders shall be passed within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

This writ petition is ordered accordingly.

Sd/-

**ANU SIVARAMAN**  
**JUDGE**

SVP

**APPENDIX**

## PETITIONER ANNEXURE

Exhibit P1	TRUE COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT APPROVAL APPLICATION FORM
Exhibit P2	TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.D/4655/2020 DATED 23.10.2020 OF THE AEO, MANKADA
Exhibit P3	TRUE COPY OF THE APPEAL APPLICATION
Exhibit P4	TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.B1/193643/2020 DATED 2.3.2021 OF THE DEO MALAPPURAM
Exhibit P5	TRUE COPY OF THE REVISION PETITION FILED BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT DATED 27.05.2021.