IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM
PRESENT

AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENT DATED 27.01.2022 IN MC 51/2019 OF FAMILY COURT, MAVELIKKARA

REVISION PETITIONER:

SAJIN.P.GEORGE,
AGED 35, S/O PONNACHAN M GEORGE, MALANCHERUVU PUTHEN VEEDU,
ILAKOLLOOR MURI, PRAMADAM VILLAGE, KONNI TALUK,
PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT., PIN - 689646
BY ADVS.
V.PHILIP MATHEWS
E.RADHAKRISHNAN
ABIYA MARIYAM MATHEW

RESPONDENT/PETITIONER:

SIJI SAJI, AGED 25, W/O SAJIN P GEORGE, PUTHENPURA KIZHAKKATHIL, ARUNOOTTIMANGALAM MURI, VETTIYAR VILLAGE, MAVELIKKARA TALUK- 690558

BY ADVS.
DILEEP P V
A.MUJEEB REHUMAN

THIS REV.PETITION (FAMILY COURT) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 13.02.2024, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER

Heard both sides.

2. It is against the order of maintenance granted to the wife at the rate of Rs. 6000/- per month, the husband, a photographer by profession came up in revision. Though he advanced a case that she is an earning member, he failed to establish the same with evidence. At the time of his examination as RW1, even he did not disclose where she was employed, what kind of job she was doing and what is the income. No evidence worth the name was adduced in that behalf. On the other hand, admittedly he is a physically abled man, a photographer by profession. The amount ordered by the Family Court is the bare minimum required to maintain her livelihood. As such, there is no reason for any kind of interference.

The RP(FC) fails, and is dismissed.

Sd/-P.SOMARAJAN JUDGE

msp

www.ecourtsindia.com

www.ecourtsindia.com

COPY OF ORDER DATED 27.1.2022 IN M.C.NO.51/2019 ON THE FILE OF FAMILY COURT, MAVELIKKARA

www.ecourtsindia.com