## IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL TUESDAY, THE  $11^{\mathrm{TH}}$  DAY OF APRIL 2023 / 21ST CHAITHRA, 1945 RP NO. 351 OF 2023

AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENT DATED 1.2.2023 IN WP(C) 6378/2014 OF HIGH COURT OF KERALA

## REVIEW PETITIONER/PETITIONER:

EBRAHIMKUNJU
AGED 72 YEARS
KALLUVILLA VEEDU, CHERUNNIYOOR. P.O., VARKALA,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT, KERALA STATE,, PIN 695142

BY ADV K.V.BHADRA KUMARI

## RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS:

- 1 BANKING OMBUDSMAN,
  OFFICE OF THE BANKING OMBUDSMAN,
  (KERALA AND THE UNION TERRITORY OF LAKSHADWEEP)
  RESERVE BANK OF INDIA BUILDING,
  THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN 695033
- THE GENERAL MANAGER, FEDERAL BANK LTD., FEDERAL BANK LTD., REGISTERED OFFICE AT ALWAYE, ERNAKULAM (DT)., PIN 683101
- 3 THE FEDERAL BANK LTD.,
  REPRESENTED BY ITS GENERAL MANAGER,
  REGISTERED OFFICE AT ALWAYE,
  ERNAKULAM (DT), PIN 683101
- 4 THE MANAGER, FEDERAL BANK LTD., FEDERAL BANK LTD., VARKALA BRANCH, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT,, PIN 695141

RP 351/23 in WPC 6378/14

2

5 RESERVE BANK OF INDIA, SHAHID BAGAT SINGH MARG, REPRESENTED BY ITS GENERAL MANAGER, MUMBAI, MAHARASHTRA STATE, PIN -400 001

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 11.04.2023, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

## <u>O R D E R</u>

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks review of the judgment dated 1.2.2023 in WP(C) No.6378/2014 on the ground that the petitioner had not authorised the counsel for withdrawal of the writ petition, despite the fact that claiming identical reliefs, he had already approached the Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum and thereafter to the Ombudsman.

In view of the aforesaid submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner, the judgment dated 1.2.2023 in WP(C) No.6378/2014 is recalled.

The review petition would stand allowed and the writ petition restored to file.

Sd/-

AMIT RAWAL
JUDGE

jg