

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE BASANT BALAJI

MONDAY, THE 24TH DAY OF MARCH 2025 / 3RD CHAITHRA, 1947

RP NO. 274 OF 2025

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT DATED 28.01.2025 IN OP(C) NO.1495 OF 2024 OF

HIGH COURT OF KERALA

REVIEW PETITIONER:

- 1 THE STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
HEALTH DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM,
PIN - 695001
- 2 THE SUPERINTENDENT
DISTRICT MODEL HOSPITAL, PEROORKADA,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695001
BY ADV GOVERNMENT PLEADER

RESPONDENTS:

- 1 BINDU V
AGED 48 YEARS
D/O SASIDHARAN, RESIDING AT KAITHARATHALA PUTHENVEEDU-11
NEDUMBRAM, KUDAPPANAKKUNNU, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM,
PIN - 695001
- 2 THE THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT PANCHAYATH
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY
PATTOM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695004

OTHER PRESENT:

GP SRI. SAYED M. THANGAL
ADV. SRI. V.S BABU GIREESAN, SC SRI. THOMAS ABRAHAM

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 24.03.2025,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

O R D E R

(Dated this the 24th day of March, 2025)

While disposing of OP(C) No.1495/2024, in the last paragraph it was held as follows:

The counsel for the petitioner has made available receipts evidencing payment of Rs.50,000/- which was the rent defaulted by the petitioner. His further grievance is that the electricity connection has been disconnected because of non-payment of the rent. Since the entire rent is now paid, I deem it appropriate to direct the 2nd respondent to consider Ext.P12 also in the light of payments made within two weeks from today and if the connection was given earlier to the petitioner's bunk, the same shall be restored to the petitioner within the said period subject to the orders to be passed in Ext P5. The interim order passed by this court will be in force till the application for leave is considered.

2. This review petition is filed by the respondents 1 and 2 on the ground that an error has occurred in the judgment. In fact, the total rent due to the petitioner is around Rs.1,21,000/-, in which Rs.50,000/- is remitted and the balance amount is Rs.71,000/-.

3. Therefore, I find that there is an error apparent on the face of the record. Hence, I deem it



appropriate to review the judgment dated 28.01.2025, and the last paragraph is modified as :

“The counsel for the petitioner has made available the receipts evidencing the payment of Rs.50,000/- which was the rent defaulted by the petitioner. It is seen that the total arrears come to Rs.1,21,000/- and only Rs.50,000/- is paid, so the balance amount of Rs.71,000/- is to be remitted by the petitioner for the full satisfaction of the rent. The petitioner seeks seven months time to pay the balance amount.

Therefore, taking into consideration, the facts and circumstances of the case, as well as the inability to pay Rs.71,000/- in a single shot, I grant seven months time to the petitioner to pay the amount”.

4. The counsel for the review petitioners also submits that Ext.P12 is already disposed of.

5. The counsel for the 1st respondent objected to the same, and submits that he has not received any notice in that.



If any orders are passed in Ext.P12, it is for the 1st respondent to challenge it in appropriate proceedings.

The review petition is disposed of as above.

Sd/-
BASANT BALAJI
JUDGE

JS