

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

MONDAY, THE 2ND DAY OF APRIL 2012/13TH CHAITHRA 1934

Con.Case(C).No. 430 of 2012 (S)

AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENT IN WPC.25488/2011 DATED 31/10/2011.

PETITIONER/PETITIONER:

SAJITHA BANU A, AGED 26 YEARS,
D/O.AHAMED KABEER.M, MANGALATH HOUSE,
EAST STREET, KODUVAYUR P.O., CHITTOOR TALUK,
PALAKKAD DT.-678 501. (NOW WORKING AS CASHIER/
JUNIOR ASSISTANT, ELECTRICAL SECTION,
O/O. ASSISTANT ENGINEER, KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD,
KODUVAYUR, PALAKKAD DIST., FORMER LOWER DIVISION CLERK,
REVENUE DEPARTMENT, OFFICE OF TAHSILDAR
(REVENUE RECOVERY), TALUK OFFICE, PALAKKAD).

BY ADV. SRI.SHOBY K.FRANCIS.

RESPONDENT/2ND RESPONDENT:

DR.VALSALAKUMARI K.B., AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,
(FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THIS PETITIONER),
LAND REVENUE COMMISSIONER,
O/O.LAND REVENUE COMMISSIONER,
PUBLIC OFFICE BUILDING, MUSEUM JUNCTION,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.

BY GOVT. PLEADER SMT.K.T. LILLY.

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 02-04-2012, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

rs.

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S ANNEXURES:-

- | | |
|-------------------|---|
| ANNEXURE A | COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN W.P.(C)NO. 25488/2011-I
DATED 31/10/2011 OF THIS HONOURABLE COURT. |
| ANNEXURE B | COPY OF THE POSTAL RECEIPT DATED 16/11/2011 ISSUED
TO THE PETITIONER. |
| ANNEXURE C | COPY OF THE NOTICE ISSUED BY THE RESPONDENT
DATED 02/01/2012. |

RESPONDENT'S ANNEXURES:-

NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

rs.

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, J.

C.O.(C). No. 430 of 2012

Dated this the 2nd day of April, 2012

JUDGMENT

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that pursuant to the direction given by this Court on 31.10.2011 in W.P.(C) No. 25488/2011, the matter has been reconsidered and the petitioner is appointed in the parent department. However, same grievance still remains for the petitioner, in so far as her full service has not been given credit to. The order dated 7.02.2012, passed in this regard is stated as served on the petitioner only on 28.3.2012.

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Government Pleader, this Court finds that the direction given by this Court has been complied with and nothing further remains to be considered in the contempt matter. If at all the petitioner is not satisfied with the order, it is always open for her to have it challenged, to the extent she is aggrieved, by filing appropriate proceedings. The matter is closed accordingly.

**P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON,
JUDGE.**

Kp/-